

WETAP Evaluation Form 2012

Applicant Name:				
Project Type:				
Area Served:	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-urbanized	<input type="checkbox"/> Small urbanized	<input type="checkbox"/> Madison	<input type="checkbox"/> Milwaukee
Operating/Capital:	<input type="checkbox"/> Operating	<input type="checkbox"/> Capital	<input type="checkbox"/> Both	
Required Documents:	<input type="checkbox"/> Complete 2012 WETAP Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Itemized Budget		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Written Responses	<input type="checkbox"/> Local Match Support Documentation		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Letters of Acknowledgement (WDB & RPC/MPO)	<input type="checkbox"/> FFATA form (on file with WisDOT or included in submission)		
	<input type="checkbox"/> FTA Certifications and Assurances			
Optional Documents:	Reimbursement Waiver Submitted?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	

Proposed Project Evaluation Criteria	Low	Med.	High	Total
Coordination <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project contributes to the capacity of the community to develop and implement coordinated services Shows evidence of active and ongoing coordination with other transportation systems, providers, and services Is supported by local partners (evidenced by letter(s) of support) Stakeholders are involved in and informed about the project 	0-6	7-13	14-20	___/20
Demonstration of Need <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project is clearly described and meets eligibility Identifies unmet need that cannot be addressed with existing sources of funds Overcomes transportation barriers of targeted population Includes specific outcomes/benefits supported with data Builds capacity and provides new access to important destinations, including employment and/or employment support services If application includes multiple activities, applicant has demonstrated that proposed activities are interdependent and integrated 	0-10	11-20	21-30	___/30
Performance and Efficiency <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timeline and other proposal responses show that the project is ready to implement in calendar year 2012 Project will efficiently meet objectives of the program Project shows a cost effective use of funds (project benefits vs. cost) Service projects have reasonable administration costs when compared to total project cost Proposal identifies other long-term funding sources or efforts to sustain the project beyond the grant period Proposed outcomes seem attainable Proposed outcomes show significant impact on transportation needs 	0-10	11-20	21-30	___/30
Agency Evaluation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant agency has experience providing and coordinating transportation or related services for individuals Demonstrates an institutional capacity to manage the project Has a history of successfully managing State and/or Federal transportation funds and/or programs administered to WisDOT Working capital of the agency is adequate to supplement operations if federal funding is not available for the first quarter 	0-6	7-13	14-20	___/20
	This criterion requires an average score of 11 or more points to remain eligible for all funding			
Total Points (must average 65 or more across all evaluations to be eligible for funding)				___/100

Continuing Projects ONLY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project met or exceeded all of its original goals and objectives, or shows great promise for success by utilizing lessons learned, etc. Project activities achieved or contributed greatly to coordinated transportation or services, or shows future improvements If for service, the project provided a reasonable number of rides at an expected cost per ride Overall, the project was a meaningful and worthwhile use of funds 	This criterion requires an average score of 14 or more points to remain eligible for all funding	___/20
--	--	--------